arXiv:1701.00333v2 [math.NT] 13 Feb 2017

AUTOMORPHIC VECTOR BUNDLES WITH GLOBAL SECTIONS ON G-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$-SCHEMES

WUSHI GOLDRING, JEAN-STEFAN KOSKIVIRTA

Abstract. A general conjecture is stated on the set of automorphic vector bundles admitting nonzero global sections on schemes endowed with a smooth, surjective morphism to a stack of G-zips of Hodge type; such schemes include Hodge-type Shimura varieties with hyperspecial level. We prove our conjecture for groups of type A_1; this includes all Hilbert-Blumenthal varieties. An example using flag spaces is given to show that our conjecture can fail for zip data not of Hodge type.

Introduction

This paper contributes to our general program launched in [7]. Our focus here is a key example of the geometry-by-groups philosophy which emanates from stacks of G-Zips, the Ekedahl-Oort (EO) stratification of Shimura varieties and their Hasse invariants. See our sequel papers to [7] and joint work with B. Stroh and Y. Brunebarbe for further developments in our program [8, 6, 9, 3].

Let X be the special fiber of a Hodge-type Shimura variety at a place of good reduction, attached to a Shimura datum $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X})$. Write $G := G_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \mathbf{F}_p$, where $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ is a reductive $\mathbf{Z}_p$-model of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$. By Zhang [19], it is known that there exists a smooth morphism of stacks $X \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$, where $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ is the corresponding stack of G-zips (see §1.1.1). In this setting, an encapsulation of the geometry-by-groups philosophy is the following:

Question A. *To what extent is the global geometry of the Shimura variety X captured by the group-engendered stack G-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$?*

Initially it may appear to the reader that the global geometry of X is much richer than the information contained in the finite stack G-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$, so that the answer to Question A should be "minimal". However, the previous papers [14, 13, 7, 8] already deduced nontrivial information about the global geometry of X from a study of group-theoretical Hasse invariants on G-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ (and the closely related stacks of zip flags). For example, we showed by this method that the Ekedahl-Oort stratification of X is uniformly principally pure [7, Cor. 3.1.3] and that all Ekedahl-Oort strata of the minimal compactification $X^{\min}$ are affine (*loc. cit.*, Th. 3.3.1).

Motivated by a conjecture that F. Diamond communicated to us [4], this note is concerned with another example where a facet of global geometry of X is understood purely in terms of G-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$: the question of which automorphic vector bundles admit

W. G. Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, Stockholm SE-10691, Sweden

J.-S. K. Department of Mathematics, South Kensington Campus, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

E-mail address: wushijig@gmail.com, jeanstefan.koskivirta@gmail.com.

global sections on X. Our forthcoming joint work with Stroh and Brunebarbe [9, 3] will study the closely related question of which automorphic vector bundles are ample on X and on the associated partial flag spaces defined in section 4.1.

Our methods reveal that it is in fact more natural to replace the Shimura variety X by an arbitrary scheme and study the more general:

Question B. *Let X be an arbitrary scheme, endowed with a smooth surjective morphism $\zeta : X \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. To what extent is the global geometry of X controlled by the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$?*

The passage from Question A concerning Shimura varieties to the much broader context of Question B is of central importance to our general program. This broadening of perspective will be dissected elsewhere. For a more precise question regarding global sections of automorphic vector bundles, see Question C in §2.2.

The main object of study in this note is a collection of 'automorphic' vector bundles on the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. Denote by L the Levi subgroup of G given by $\mathcal{Z}$ (see §1.1.1) and choose a Borel pair (B, T) appropriately adapted to $\mathcal{Z}$. A $B \cap L$-dominant character $\lambda \in X^*(T)$ gives rise to a vector bundle $\mathscr{V}(\lambda)$ on the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ (see §1.2.1). Put $\mathscr{V}_X(\lambda) := \zeta^*(\mathscr{V}(\lambda))$. When X is the special fiber of a Hodge-type Shimura variety, the $\mathscr{V}_X(\lambda)$ recover the usual automorphic vector bundles on X.

Let C (resp. C_X) denote the set of $\lambda \in X^*(T)$ such that $\mathscr{V}(\lambda)$ (resp. $\mathscr{V}_X(\lambda)$) admits nonzero global sections. We are interested in the saturated cones $\langle C \rangle$ and $\langle C_X \rangle$ generated by them. The inclusion $\langle C \rangle \subset \langle C_X \rangle$ holds in general. In the vein of Question B, we conjecture that $\langle C \rangle = \langle C_X \rangle$ whenever the zip datum $\mathcal{Z}$ is of Hodge type (see [8, Def. 1.4.3]), the map ζ is smooth and surjective on each connected component of X, and global sections of the structure sheaves of strata closures in X are locally constant (see Assumption 2.2.2 and Conjecture 2.2.5). In particular, our conjecture includes the case when X is a Shimura variety of Hodge-type with hyperspecial level at p. Note that the cone $\langle C \rangle$ can be determined explicitly in group-theoretical terms.

As an example, we examine the case when G is of type A_1 (i.e., $G_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$ is isomorphic to $SL^n_{2,\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$, see §3.1). This case applies to Hilbert modular varieties. Even though G is not exactly the group attached to Hilbert modular varieties, the difference is harmless with our methods (see § 2.3). Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the zip datum of G attached to a Borel subgroup of G. Zip strata are parametrized by subsets of $\{1, ..., n\}$. For a subset S, denote by X_S the corresponding closed stratum and by X^*_S its Zariski closure (see §2.1).

Theorem (Theorem 3.2.3)**.** *Assume the following:*

1. *For each connected component $X^\circ \subset X$, the map $\zeta : X^\circ \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ is smooth and surjective.*
2. *For every $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$, every $h \in H^0(X^*_S, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is locally constant.*

Then for any G-admissible subset $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$, the equality $\langle C_{X,S} \rangle = \langle C_S \rangle$ holds. In particular, one has $\langle C_X \rangle = \langle C \rangle$.

For the definition of G-admissible, see Definition 3.2.1. The cone $\langle C \rangle$ is given explicitly in Corollary 3.2.4. Diamond shared with us his conjecture that when X is a Hilbert modular variety, the cone $\langle C_X \rangle$ is equal to that spanned by Goren's partial Hasse invariants [10]. As Diamond later informed us, a similar question

had been raised by Andreatta-Goren [1, Question 15.8]. Inspired by Diamond's Conjecture, the Theorem above offers a reinterpretation and generalization to the setting of G-Zips and to our framework of Questions A and B.

After we announced the results of this paper and communicated them to Diamond, we received the preprint [5], which among other results provides a different proof of the second statement of Theorem 3.2.3 when X is the special fiber of a Hilbert modular variety at a place of good reduction (Cor. 1.3 of *loc. cit.*). It seems to us that the approach of Diamond-Kassaei uses special properties of Hilbert modular varieties (e.g., the results of Tian-Xiao that in the Hilbert case EO strata are $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundles over quaternionic Shimura varieties).

By contrast, our methods only use the map ζ and its basic properties, which hold for all Hodge-type Shimura varieties and even more general G-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$-schemes. For example, in forthcoming work, we show that our methods can be used to generalize Th. 3.2.3 to the groups $GSp(4)$ and $GU(2,1)$ (for split p), thereby proving Conj. 2.2.5 in these cases.

We now give an overview of the paper. In §1, we recall the theory of G-zips and our previous results on Hasse invariants. In §2, we define a family of cones inside $X^*(T)$ and formulate our conjectural generalization of Th. 3.2.3 to zip data of Hodge type, see Conj. 2.2.5. The proof of Th. 3.2.3 is the subject of §3. In §4, we exhibit the flag space of $GSp(6)$ as an example of how Conj. 2.2.5 can fail when the zip datum is not of Hodge type.

Acknowledgments

We thank Fred Diamond for sharing his conjecture with us and for helpful correspondence. We are indebted to Benoît Stroh for our collaboration on related questions concerning ampleness of automorphic bundles. We are grateful to Torsten Wedhorn for helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper.

W. G. thanks the University of Zurich for providing excellent working conditions and the opportunity to present some of the results of this paper during a visit in the fall of 2016.

1. Review of previous results

1.1. Review of zip data.

1.1.1. *Zip data and the stack of G-zips.* We fix an algebraic closure k of $\mathbf{F}_p$. Let G be a reductive group over $\mathbf{F}_p$, and denote by $\varphi : G \to G$ be the Frobenius homomorphism. Let $\mathcal{Z} := (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi)$ be a Frobenius zip datum. Recall that this means that P, Q are parabolic subgroups of G_k and $L \subset P$ and $M \subset Q$ are Levi subgroups, with the property that $\varphi(L) = M$. We say that $\mathcal{Z}$ is a zip datum of Borel-type if P is a Borel subgroup of G (this implies that Q is also a Borel subgroup). The zip group is the subgroup of $P \times Q$ defined by

$$E := \{(x, y) \in P \times Q,\ \varphi(\overline{x}) = \overline{y}\} \tag{1.1.1}$$

where $\overline{x} \in L$ and $\overline{y} \in M$ denote the Levi components of the elements x and y respectively. We let $G \times G$ act on G by $(a, b) \cdot g := agb^{-1}$, and we get by restriction an action of E on G. The stack of G-zips of type $\mathcal{Z}$ is isomorphic to the quotient stack

$$G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}} \simeq [E \backslash G]\,. \tag{1.1.2}$$

For convenience, we assume that there exists a Borel pair (B,T) defined over $\mathbf{F}_p$ such that $B \subset Q$. Then there exists an element $z \in W$ such that ${}^zB \subset P$, and (B,T,z) defines a W-frame for $\mathcal{Z}$ (see Definition 1.3.1 in [8]).

1.1.2. *Zip stratification.* Let $\Phi \subset X^*(T)$ (resp. Φ_L) be the set of T-roots in G (resp. L). Let Φ^+ (resp. Φ_L^+) be the system of positive roots given by putting $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ (resp. $\alpha \in \Phi_L^+$) when the α-root group $U_{-\alpha}$ is contained in B (resp. $B_L := B \cap L$). Write $\Delta \subset \Phi_+$ for the set of simple roots. For $\alpha \in \Phi$, let $s_\alpha \in W$ be the corresponding reflection. Then $(W, \{s_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta\})$ is a Coxeter group and we denote by $\ell : W \to \mathbf{N}$ the length function. Let $I \subset \Delta$ (resp. $J \subset \Delta$) be the type of P (resp. Q). Let $W_I \subset W$ be the subgroup generated by the s_α for $\alpha \in I$. Let w_0 (resp. $w_{0,I}$) be the longest element in W (resp. W_I). Denote by ${}^IW$ (resp. W^J) the subset of elements $w \in W$ which are minimal in the coset $W_I w$ (resp. wW_J). The set ${}^IW$ (resp. W^J) is a set of representatives for the quotient $W_I\backslash W$ (resp. W/W_J). For $w \in W$, we fix a representative $\dot{w} \in N_G(T)$, such that $(w_1w_2)^{\cdot} = \dot{w}_1\dot{w}_2$ whenever $\ell(w_1w_2) = \ell(w_1)+\ell(w_2)$ (this is possible by choosing a Chevalley system, see [2], Exp. XXIII, §6).

If $h \in G(k)$ is an element of the group, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(h)$ the E-orbit of h in G. Similarly, we write $o_{\mathcal{Z}}(h) := [E\backslash\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(h)]$ for the corresponding locally closed substack of $[E\backslash G]$. By Theorem 7.5 in [18], the map

$$ {}^IW \to \{E\text{-orbits in } G\}, \quad w \mapsto G^w := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\dot{z}\dot{w}) \tag{1.1.3}$$

defines a bijection between ${}^IW$ and the set of E-orbits in G. There is a dual parametrization (§11 in *loc. cit.*) given by a bijection:

$$ W^J \to \{E\text{-orbits in } G\}, \quad w \mapsto G'^w := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\dot{z}\dot{w}). \tag{1.1.4}$$

Furthermore, one has the following dimension formulas:

$$ \dim(G^w) = \ell(w) + \dim(P) \quad \text{for all } w \in {}^IW \tag{1.1.5}$$

$$ \dim(G'^w) = \ell(w) + \dim(P) \quad \text{for all } w \in W^J. \tag{1.1.6}$$

1.2. **Vector bundles and Hasse invariants.**

1.2.1. *Vector bundles on G-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$.* We make the identification $X^*(L) = X^*(P) = X^*(E)$ via the inclusion $L \subset P$ and the first projection $E \to P$. Similarly every representation of L gives rise to one of E. Therefore every L-module gives a vector bundle on G-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Associated to a Φ_L^+-dominant character $\lambda \in X^*(T)$, one has an L-equivariant line bundle $\mathscr{L}_\lambda$ on the flag variety L/B_L and consequently the L-module $H^0(\lambda) := H^0(L/B_L, \mathscr{L}_\lambda)$. Denote by $\mathscr{V}(\lambda)$ the corresponding vector bundle on the stack G-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. In case $\lambda \in X^*(T)$ is not Φ_L^+-dominant, set $\mathscr{V}(\lambda) = 0$.

Given a scheme X and a morphism $\zeta : X \longrightarrow G$-$\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$, denote the vector bundle $\zeta^*(\mathscr{V}(\lambda))$ on X by $\mathscr{V}_X(\lambda)$.

1.2.2. *Canonical sections on strata.* Recall that for any $w \in {}^IW$, there exists $n \geq 1$ such that for all $\lambda \in X^*(L)$, the line bundle $\mathscr{V}(\lambda)^n$ admits a nonzero section

$$ f_{w,\lambda} \in H^0([E\backslash G_w], \mathscr{V}(\lambda)^n). \tag{1.2.1}$$

which is unique up to a scalar.

In [7, Th. 3.1.2] the authors proved the following: If λ is ample and orbitally p-close, there exists an $N \geq 1$ such that for all $w \in {}^IW$ the section $f_{w,\lambda}^N$ extends

to a section over $\left[E\backslash\overline{G}_w\right]$. Furthermore, the non-vanishing locus of this section is $[E\backslash G_w]$. This conclusion holds also when $\mathcal{Z}$ is the zip datum attached to a maximal-type zip datum (G,μ) and λ is of maximal-type (see [8, Def. 1.4.4]. In particular, this applies to the Hodge bundle in the context of Shimura varieties of Hodge-type.

2. The conjecture

2.1. **Notation.** In this section we consider a reductive group G over $\mathbf{F}_p$ and a zip datum $\mathcal{Z} := (G, P, L, Q, M, \varphi)$. We denote by $\mathcal{X} := G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ the associated stack of G-zips. We fix a k-scheme X and a morphism of stacks

$$\zeta : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}. \tag{2.1.1}$$

In (1) through (4) below, all locally closed subsets are endowed with the reduced structure. For all $w \in {}^I W$, we define:

(1) $\mathcal{X}_w$ as the locally closed substack $[E\backslash G_w] \subset \mathcal{X}$.
(2) $\mathcal{X}_w^* := \left[E\backslash\overline{G}_w\right]$ as the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{X}_w$.
(3) X_w as the preimage $\zeta^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_w)$.
(4) X_w^* as the preimage $\zeta^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_w^*)$.

2.2. **Cones.** We now define several subsets of $X^*(T)$. In the following, w denotes an element of ${}^I W$:

$$C := \{\lambda \in X^*(T),\ H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathscr{V}(\lambda)) \neq 0\} \tag{2.2.1}$$

$$C_w := \{\lambda \in X^*(T),\ H^0(\mathcal{X}_w^*, \mathscr{V}(\lambda)) \neq 0\} \tag{2.2.2}$$

$$C_X := \{\lambda \in X^*(T),\ H^0(X, \mathscr{V}_X(\lambda)) \neq 0\} \tag{2.2.3}$$

$$C_{X,w} := \{\lambda \in X^*(T),\ H^0(X_w^*, \mathscr{V}_X(\lambda)) \neq 0\} \tag{2.2.4}$$

Definition 2.2.1. *Let M be a finitely generated free abelian group of rank r.*

(a) A cone in M is a subset $C_0 \subset M$ satisfying $x, y \in C_0 \Rightarrow x + y \in C_0$.
(b) We say that C_0 is saturated if $nx \in C_0 \Rightarrow x \in C_0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $x \in M$.
(c) For a subset C_0 of a free abelian group of finite-type M, we define

$$\langle C_0\rangle := \{x \in M, \exists n \geq 1, nx \in C_0\}. \tag{2.2.5}$$

If C_0 is a cone, then $\langle C_0\rangle$ is a saturated cone. One sees immediately that C and C_w is a cone of $X^*(T)$ for all $w \in {}^I W$. If X_w is irreducible, then $C_{X,w}$ is a cone. We will make the following assumption:

Assumption 2.2.2.

(a) The zip datum $\mathcal{Z}$ is of Hodge-type ([8, Def. 1.4.3]).
(b) For all connected components $X^\circ \subset X$, the map $\zeta : X^\circ \to \mathcal{X}$ is smooth and surjective.
(c) For all $w \in W$, every section $h \in H^0(X_w^*, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is locally constant.

Remark 2.2.3. Assumption 2.2.2 implies the following:

(a) X, X_w are smooth.
(b) X_w^* is the Zariski closure of X_w.
(c) X_w^* and X_w are equi-dimensional of dimension $\dim(X) + \ell(w) - \ell(w_0)$.
(d) The decomposition $X = \bigsqcup_{w \in {}^I W} X_w$ is a stratification of X, in the sense that the Zariski closure of a stratum is a union of strata.

(e) The inclusions $C_w \subset C_{X,w}$ and $\langle C_w \rangle \subset \langle C_{X,w} \rangle$ hold.

In this setting, a particular instance of Question B is:

Question C. *For which $w \in {}^I W$ does one have $\langle C_w \rangle = \langle C_{X,w} \rangle$?*

Remark 2.2.4.

(a) The inclusion $C_w \subset C_{X,w}$ is usually proper. For example, if X is the special fiber of the modular curve, then $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \omega^n) \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow n = (p-1)m, m \geq 0$.
(b) We conjecture that, when X is a Hilbert modular threefold at a totally inert prime and $w \in {}^I W$ has length two, then $\langle C_w \rangle \neq \langle C_{X,w} \rangle$.

We submit the following:

Conjecture 2.2.5. *If Assumption 2.2.2 is satisfied, the equality $\langle C \rangle = \langle C_X \rangle$ holds.*

We will give in section 4.2 an example of a pair (X, ζ) satisfying Assumptions 2.2.2 (b) and 2.2.2 (c) but not 2.2.2 (a) for which $\langle C \rangle \neq \langle C_X \rangle$. The following simple proposition serves to illustrate some of the concepts introduced above and will be used later.

Proposition 2.2.6. *Assume $\mathcal{Z}$ is a Borel-type zip datum (i.e P is a Borel subgroup). If $\ell(w) = 1$, the equality $\langle C_w \rangle = \langle C_{X,w} \rangle$ holds.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in C_{X,w}$ and assume $\lambda \notin \langle C_w \rangle$. Since $\ell(w) = 1$, we have $-\lambda \in \langle C_w \rangle$. So there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $-m\lambda \in C_w$ and $m\lambda \in C_{X,w}$. Hence there exist nonzero sections $h \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_w^*, \mathscr{V}(-m\lambda))$ and $f \in H^0(X_w^*, \mathscr{V}_X(m\lambda))$.

Since X_w^* is reduced, there exists an irreducible component $X'_w \subset X_w$ where $f|_{X'_w} \neq 0$. Since ζ is smooth, $\zeta^*(h)$ is non-zero on X'_w. So $\zeta^*(h)f \in H^0(X'_w, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is nonzero too, therefore must be constant by Asssumption 2.2.2(c). Thus h is nowhere vanishing on $\mathcal{X}_w$ and $\mathscr{V}(m\lambda)|_{\mathcal{X}_w} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_w}$; this contradicts $\lambda \notin \langle C_w \rangle$. □

2.3. **Changing the group.** Note that $X^*(G) \subset C_w$ for all $w \in {}^I W$. Let G' be the derived group of G. Intersecting with G', the zip datum $\mathcal{Z}$ yields naturally a zip datum $\mathcal{Z}'$ for G'. The map $\iota : G' \to G$ induces a homeomorphism $G'\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}} \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. Consider the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\zeta'} & G'\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}'} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}. \end{array}$$

Denote by C', C'_X and C'_w, $C'_{X',w}$ (for $w \in {}^I W$) the cones (2.2.1) to (2.2.4) relatively to the map ζ'. Let T' and T be maximal tori of G' and G respectively, satisfying $T \cap G' = T'$. Write $\iota^* : X^*(T) \to X^*(T')$ for the restriction map. Note that, given $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in X^*(T)$, one has $\mathscr{V}(\lambda_1) \cong \mathscr{V}(\lambda_2)$ (as line bundles) if and only if $\iota^*(\lambda_1) = \iota^*(\lambda_2)$.

It is clear that $\iota^* C_w \subset C'_w$ and $\iota^* C_{X,w} \subset C'_{X',w}$ for all $w \in {}^I W$. These inclusions are strict in general. However by [7, Lemma 6.2.7] the saturated cones are equal:

Lemma 2.3.1. *For all $w \in {}^I W$, one has $\langle \iota^* C_w \rangle = \langle C'_w \rangle$ and $\langle \iota^* C_{X,w} \rangle = \langle C'_{X',w} \rangle$. In particular,*

$$\langle C_w \rangle = \langle C_{X,w} \rangle \Longleftrightarrow \langle C'_w \rangle = \langle C'_{X',w} \rangle.$$

2.4. **Shimura varieties.** Let X be the special fiber of a Hodge-type Shimura variety with hyperspecial level at p. Let G be the corresponding reductive group over $\mathbf{F}_p$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ the zip datum of X. By [19], there is a smooth morphism of stacks

$$\zeta : X \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}, \tag{2.4.1}$$

The map ζ satisfies Assumption 2.2.2. Condition (a) is clearly satisfied by definition. A number of works have recently shown that ζ is surjective on every connected component X° of X *cf.* [16, 12]. Furthermore, 2.2.2 (c) follows from Koecher's principle for strata, proved by Lan-Stroh in [15, Th. 2.5.10]. In particular, Conjecture 2.2.5 applies to Shimura varieties.

3. Example : Groups of type A_1

In this section, we study Question C for $\mathbf{F}_p$-groups G of type A_1. As a corollary, we deduce results about Hilbert modular varieties. In forthcoming work, we obtain similar results when $G = GSp(4)$ and when $G = GU(2,1)$ (and p split), whence we deduce results about Siegel modular threefolds and Picard modular surfaces.

We prove Conjecture 2.2.5 when G is of type A_1. If G is $\mathbf{F}_p$-split or if split over the quadratic extension $\mathbf{F}_{p^2}$, we show that $\langle C_w \rangle = \langle C_{X,w} \rangle$ holds for all $w \in W$, which gives a complete answer to Question C.

In the general case, we define a set of admissible strata for which one has $\langle C_w \rangle = \langle C_{X,w} \rangle$. However, we conjecture that not all w satisfy this equality of cones.

3.1. **Notation.** Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer; let $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$, be a partition of n with $n_i \geq 1$ for all $i = 1, ..., r$. Consider the $\mathbf{F}_p$-reductive group G defined by

$$G := G_1 \times ... \times G_r, \quad G_i := \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbf{F}_{p^{n_i}}/\mathbf{F}_p}(SL_{2,\mathbf{F}_{p^{n_i}}}) \tag{3.1.1}$$

Define $N_m = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$ for all $1 \leq m \leq r$ and $N_0 := 0$. Denote again by σ the permutation of $\{1, ..., n\}$ defined as a product $\sigma = c_1 \cdots c_r$ where c_i is the n_i-cycle $c_i = (N_i \ (N_i - 1) \cdots (N_{i-1} + 1))$ for $i = 1, ..., r$. There is an isomorphism

$$G_k \simeq SL_{2,k}^n \tag{3.1.2}$$

such that the action of $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(k/\mathbf{F}_p)$ on $G(k) \simeq SL_2(k)^n$ is given by

$${}^\sigma(x_1, ..., x_n) := (\varphi(x_{\sigma(1)}), \varphi(x_{\sigma(2)}), ..., \varphi(x_{\sigma(n)})). \tag{3.1.3}$$

Let $T \subset SL_{2,k}$ be the diagonal torus. We identify $X^*(T) = \mathbf{Z}$ by sending $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ to the character $\mathrm{diag}(x, x^{-1}) \mapsto x^m$. Define $\widetilde{T} := T \times ... \times T \subset G_k$ and identify similarly $X^*(\widetilde{T}) = \mathbf{Z}^n$. Let $B \subset SL_{2,k}$ be the Borel subgroup of upper-triangular matrices, and define $\widetilde{B} := B \times ... \times B \subset G$. Denote by $\widetilde{B}_-$ the opposite Borel. The Weyl group of G is $W = S_2 \times ... \times S_2$.

Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the Borel-type zip datum $(G, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{T}, \widetilde{B}_-, \widetilde{T}, \varphi)$. Denote by $\mathcal{X}$ the corresponding stack of G-zips. Fix a map $\zeta : X \to \mathcal{X}$ satisfying Assumptions 2.2.2. Define a Zariski open subset $U \subset SL_2$ as the non-vanishing locus of the function

$$h : SL_{2,k} \to \mathbf{A}^1_k, \quad h : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a. \tag{3.1.4}$$

and denote by $Z \subset SL_{2,k}$ the zero locus of the function h (note that Z is a reduced subscheme). We identify the elements of W with subsets $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$ by the map

$$W \to \mathcal{P}(\{1, ..., n\}), \quad \tau = (\tau_1, ..., \tau_n) \mapsto \{i \in \{1, ..., n\} : \tau_i = 1\}. \tag{3.1.5}$$

For a subset $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$, write

$$S = S_1 \sqcup ... \sqcup S_r, \quad S_i := S \cap \{N_{i-1} + 1, ..., N_i\}. \tag{3.1.6}$$

The zip stratum corresponding to a subset $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$ is defined by:

$$G_S := \prod_{i=1}^{n} G_{S,i} \tag{3.1.7}$$

where $G_{S,i} := U$ if $i \in S$ and $G_{S,i} := Z$ if $i \notin S$. For a subset $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$, denote by $\mathcal{X}_S := [E\backslash G_S] \subset G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $X_S \subset X$ the corresponding locally closed subsets, endowed with the reduced structure, and define similarly $\mathcal{X}_S^*$ and X_S^* as their respective Zariski closures.

Write C_S and $C_{X,S}$ for the cones corresponding to the zip stratum G_S, as defined in section 2.2. Denote by $e_1, ..., e_n \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ the natural basis of $\mathbf{Z}^n$. For any subset $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$, we define a $\mathbf{Q}$-basis $\mathcal{B}_S = (\beta_{1,S}, ..., \beta_{n,S})$ of $\mathbf{Q}^n$ by:

$$\beta_{i,S} := \begin{cases} -e_i + pe_{\sigma(i)} & \text{if } i \in S, \\ e_i + pe_{\sigma(i)} & \text{if } i \notin S. \end{cases} \tag{3.1.8}$$

The cone $\langle C_S \rangle$ is the set of characters $\lambda \in X^*(\widetilde{T})$ such that

$$\lambda = \sum_{i \in S} a_i \beta_{i,S} \tag{3.1.9}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbf{N}$ for all $i \in S$ and $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ for all $i \notin S$.

3.2. **The result.** Let $d \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be an integer. For any subset $R \subset \mathbf{Z}$ consisting of d consecutive integers, the map $\phi_R : R \to \mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}$, $k \mapsto \overline{k}$ is a bijection. Let $\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}$ act on itself by addition. Then ϕ_R yields a natural action of $\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}$ on the following objects:

(1) The set R itself.
(2) The powerset $\mathcal{P}(R)$.
(3) The set of pairs (S, j) where $S \subset R$ and $j \in S$.

Definition 3.2.1. *Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer and $R \subset \mathbf{Z}$ be a subset consisting of d consecutive numbers.*

(1) A normalized admissible pair of R is a pair (S, x_r) such that $S \subset R$ is of the form $S = \{x_1, ..., x_r\}$ with $x_1 < ... < x_r$ and $x_{i+1} - x_i$ odd for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$.
(2) An admissible pair of R is a pair (S, j) that is in the $\mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}$-orbit of a normalized admissible pair for R.
(3) A G-admissible pair is a pair (S, j) such that $j \in S_m$ for some $1 \leq m \leq r$ (with the same notations as in (3.1.6)) such that (S_m, j) is an admissible pair of $\{N_{m-1} + 1, ..., N_m\}$.
(4) A subset $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$ is G-admissible if the pair (S, j) is G-admissible for all $j \in S$.

Remark 3.2.2.

(1) The subset $\{i\}$ is G-admissible for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$.
(2) The subset $\{1, ..., n\}$ is G-admissible.
(3) If $\sigma(i) = i$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ (equivalently if $r = n$), then every subset S is G-admissible.

Theorem 3.2.3. *Let $\zeta : X \to \mathcal{X}$ be a map satisfying Assumption 2.2.2. For each G-admissible subset $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$, the equality $\langle C_{X,S} \rangle = \langle C_S \rangle$ holds.*

Theorem 3.2.3 will be proved at the end of the paper, as a corollary of Proposition 3.3.3 below. As an application, let F be a totally real number field and assume that X is the special fiber of a Hilbert modular variety attached to F. Using Lemma 2.3.1, we may reduce to Theorem 3.2.3. Hence:

Corollary 3.2.4. *Conjecture 2.2.5 holds for the special fiber of Hilbert modular varieties at primes of good reduction. In other words,*

$$\langle C_X \rangle = \langle C \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(-e_i + pe_{\sigma(i)}),\ a_i \in \mathbf{N} \right\} \tag{3.2.1}$$

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.2.3.** Assume $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}^n$ is a quasi-character expressed in the basis $\mathcal{B}_S$. Choose an element $j \in S$, and consider the subset $S \setminus \{j\}$, and the corresponding basis $\mathcal{B}_{S\setminus\{j\}}$ of $\mathbf{Q}^n$. We want to decompose λ in the basis $\mathcal{B}_{S\setminus\{j\}}$.

For this, it suffices to determine the decomposition of the vector $\beta_{j,S} = -e_j + pe_{\sigma(j)}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{S\setminus\{j\}}$. Write $s_i := |S_i|$ for $i = 1, ...r$ and $s := |S| = \sum_{i=1}^{r} s_i$. Let $m \in \{1, ..., r\}$ such that $j \in S_m$. Let $a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathbf{Q}$ be the unique rational numbers such that

$$\beta_{j,S} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \beta_{i,S\setminus\{j\}}. \tag{3.3.1}$$

One sees immediately that $a_i = 0$ for $j \notin \{N_{m-1}+1, ..., N_m\}$. For $1 \le a \le b \le n$, we define $\gamma(a, b, S) \in \{\pm 1\}$ by the formula:

$$\gamma(a, b, S) := (-1)^{|\{x \in S,\ a \le x \le b\}|}. \tag{3.3.2}$$

For $d \ge 1$, $x = (x_1, ..., x_d) \in \mathbf{Q}^d$ and $y = (y_1, ..., y_d) \in \mathbf{Q}^d$, define $x * y$ as the vector $(x_1y_1, ..., x_dy_d)$. Then we have the following formula:

(3.3.3)

$$\delta \begin{pmatrix} a_{N_{m-1}+1} \\ a_{N_{m-1}+2} \\ \vdots \\ a_{j-2} \\ a_{j-1} \\ a_j \\ a_{j+1} \\ a_{j+2} \\ \vdots \\ a_{N_m} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{s_m+N_m+j} \\ (-1)^{s_m+N_m+j+1} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{n_m+s_m-1} \\ (-1)^{n_m+s_m} \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{N_m+j} \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(N_{m-1}+1, j-1, S) \\ \gamma(N_{m-1}+2, j-1, S) \\ \vdots \\ \gamma(j-2, j-1, S) \\ \gamma(j-1, j-1, S) \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \gamma(j+1, j+1, S) \\ \vdots \\ \gamma(j+1, N_m-1, S) \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} 2p^{j-N_{m-1}-1} \\ 2p^{j-N_{m-1}-2} \\ \vdots \\ 2p^2 \\ 2p \\ p^{n_m} + (-1)^{s_m+n_m+1} \\ 2p^{n_m-1} \\ 2p^{n_m-2} \\ \vdots \\ 2p^{j-N_{m-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\delta = p^{n_m} + (-1)^{s_m+n_m}$.

Lemma 3.3.1. *Let $S \neq \emptyset$ be a subset and $i \in S$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ with coordinates $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ in $\mathcal{B}_S$. Then there exists M (depending on S and λ) such that for $m \ge M$, one has*

$$H^0(X_S^*, \lambda - m\beta_{i,S}) = 0.$$

Proof. We prove the result by induction on $s = |S|$. Let $m \in \{1, ..., r\}$ such that $i \in S_m$. By Assumption 2.2.2(c), the result is clear if $s = 1$, so we assume $s > 1$. Also, we may assume $S_m = \{x_1, ..., x_{s_m}\}$ with $x_1 < ... < x_{s_m}$ and $i = x_1$. Let $j \in S - \{i\}$. By induction, there exists $M(\lambda, j) \geq 1$ such that

$$H^0(X^*_{S-\{j\}}, \lambda - m\beta_{i,S}) = 0 \tag{3.3.4}$$

for $m \geq M(\lambda, j)$. Consider the unique (up to scalar) non-zero section $h_j \in H^0(\mathcal{X}^*_S, \beta_{j,S})$. The vanishing locus of h_j is $\mathcal{X}^*_{S-\{j\}}$, and the vanishing locus of $\zeta^*(h_j)$ is $X^*_{S-\{j\}}$ by smoothness of ζ. Multiplication by $\zeta^*(h_j)$ yields a short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to \mathscr{V}_X(\lambda - m\beta_{i,S} - \beta_{j,S})|_{X^*_S} \to \mathscr{V}_X(\lambda - m\beta_{i,S})|_{X^*_S} \to \mathscr{V}_X(\lambda - m\beta_{i,S})|_{X^*_{S-\{j\}}} \to 0$$

and a long exact sequence of cohomology:

$$0 \to H^0(X^*_S, \lambda - m\beta_{i,S} - \beta_{j,S}) \to H^0(X^*_S, \lambda - m\beta_{i,S}) \to H^0(X^*_{S-\{j\}}, \lambda - m\beta_{i,S}) \to ...$$

Hence for $m \geq M(\lambda, j)$, one has an isomorphism

$$H^0(X^*_S, \lambda - m\beta_{i,S} - \beta_{j,S}) \simeq H^0(X^*_S, \lambda - m\beta_{i,S}) \tag{3.3.5}$$

Now there exists an integer $M(\lambda - \beta_{j,S}, j) \geq M(\lambda, j)$ such that

$$H^0(X^*_{S-\{j\}}, \lambda - \beta_{S,j} - m\beta_{i,S}) = 0 \tag{3.3.6}$$

for $m \geq M(\lambda - \beta_{j,S}, j)$. Applying the exact sequence above for this character shows that

$$H^0(X^*_S, \lambda - m\beta_{i,S} - 2\beta_{j,S}) \simeq H^0(X^*_S, \lambda - m\beta_{i,S} - \beta_{j,S}) \simeq H^0(X^*_S, \lambda - m\beta_{i,S})$$

for $m \geq M(\lambda - \beta_{j,S}, j)$. Continuing this way, it is clear that we can find $M'(\lambda, j) \geq 1$ such that for $m \geq M'(\lambda, j)$, there exists λ' with coordinates $(x'_1, ..., x'_n)$ in $\mathcal{B}_S$ such that $x'_j < 0$ and

$$H^0(X^*_S, \lambda - m\beta_{i,S}) \simeq H^0(X^*_S, \lambda' - m\beta_{i,S}). \tag{3.3.7}$$

Hence for large m, there exists μ with coordinates $(y_1, ..., y_n)$ in $\mathcal{B}_S$ such that $y_j < 0$ for all $j \in S$ and $H^0(X^*_S, \lambda - m\beta_{i,S}) \simeq H^0(X^*_S, \mu)$. By Assumption 2.2.2 (c), this space is zero. □

Lemma 3.3.2. *Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer and $R \subset \mathbf{Z}$ be a subset consisting of d consecutive numbers. Let (S, j) be a normalized admissible pair for R. Write $S = \{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_s\}$ with $s = |S|$ and $\alpha_1 < ... < \alpha_s$. Then the integer $\alpha_1 + \alpha_s + s$ is odd.*

Proof. Since (S, j) is a normalized admissible pair for R, one has $j = \alpha_s$ and $\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i$ is odd for all $i = 1, ..., s - 1$. Hence,

$$\alpha_s - \alpha_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} (\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i) \tag{3.3.8}$$

has the same parity as $s - 1$, hence the result. □

Proposition 3.3.3. *Let (S, j) be a G-admissible pair. Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ be a character with coordinates $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ in $\mathcal{B}_S$. If $x_j < 0$, then $H^0(X^*_S, \lambda) = 0$.*

Proof. We prove the result by induction on $|S|$. Let $m \in \{1, ..., r\}$ such that $j \in S_m$.

1) Reduction to the case when $x_i < 0$ for all $i \in S \setminus S_m$.

Assume therefore $S \neq S_m$ and let $i \in S \setminus S_m$. The pair $(S - \{i\}, j)$ is again G-admissible. Let $(y_1, ..., y_n)$ be the coordinates of λ in the basis $\mathcal{B}_{S-\{i\}}$. Since $i \notin S_m$, one has $y_j = x_j < 0$, so we deduce by induction that $H^0(X^*_{S-\{i\}}, \lambda) = 0$. This implies that one has an isomorphism

$$H^0(X^*_S, \lambda) \simeq H^0(X^*_S, \lambda - \beta_{S,i}). \tag{3.3.9}$$

Applying the same argument successively, one shows eventually that $H^0(X^*_S, \lambda) \simeq H^0(X^*_S, \lambda')$ for some λ' whose coordinates in the basis $\mathcal{B}_S$ are $(x'_1, ..., x'_n)$ such that $x'_i < 0$ for all $i \in S \setminus S_m$ and $x'_j < 0$. Hence we may assume that this holds for λ from the start. In particular, if $S_m = \{j\}$, then one deduces already that $H^0(X^*_S, \lambda) = 0$ using Assumption 2.2.2 (c). Therefore, we assume from now on that $s_m > 1$ and $x_i < 0$ for all $i \in S \setminus S_m$.

Using the Galois action, We may assume that $S_m = \{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{s_m}\}$, $j = \alpha_{s_m}$ and $\alpha_1 < ... < \alpha_{s_m}$. The pair $(S - \{\alpha_1\}, j)$ is again admissible. Let $(y_1, ..., y_n)$ be the coordinates of λ in the basis $\mathcal{B}_{S-\{\alpha_1\}}$. The relevant coordinates are $y_{\alpha_2}, ..., y_{\alpha_{s_m}}$. For all $i > 1$, one has:

$$y_{\alpha_i} = x_{\alpha_i} + (-1)^{\alpha_i + \alpha_1 + i} x_{\alpha_1} \frac{2p^{n_m + \alpha_1 - \alpha_i}}{p^{n_m} + (-1)^{s_m + n_m}} \tag{3.3.10}$$

Take $i = s_m$ (so $\alpha_i = j$) in equation (3.3.10). Then Lemma 3.3.2 shows that the integer $\alpha_{s_m} + \alpha_1 + s_m$ appearing in the formula above is always odd. Hence the formula for $i = s_m$ reads:

$$y_j = x_j - x_{\alpha_1} \frac{2p^{n_m + \alpha_1 - j}}{p^{n_m} + (-1)^{s_m + n_m}} \tag{3.3.11}$$

This fact will be used in the following.

2) Reduction to the case when $x_{\alpha_1} < 0$.

If $x_{\alpha_1} \geq 0$ then equation (3.3.11) shows that $y_j < 0$. Since $(S - \{\alpha_1\}, j)$ is admissible, we have by induction $H^0(X^*_{S-\{\alpha_1\}}, \lambda) = 0$. Hence we deduce

$$H^0(X^*_S, \lambda) = H^0(X^*_S, \lambda - \beta_{\alpha_1, S}). \tag{3.3.12}$$

We can apply this argument to reduce x_{α_1} by one as long as it is non-negative, and the process stops when x_{α_1} reaches the value -1.

3) The case when $n_m + s_m$ even.

The "jump" from α_{s_m} to α_1 has parity $n_m + \alpha_1 + \alpha_{s_m}$, which is the same as the parity of $n_m + s_m + 1$ by Lemma 3.3.2. In this case, it is therefore odd. Hence the pair (S, α_i) is also admissible for all $i = 1, ..., s_m$.

In particular, we may apply the first step to the pair (S, α_1). It then implies that we can reduce to the case when x_{α_2} is negative. By repeating this process for all α_i, $i = 1, ..., s_m$, we obtain $H^0(X^*_S, \lambda) = H^0(X^*_S, \lambda')$ for some $\lambda' \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ with coordinates $(x'_1, ..., x'_n)$ in the basis $\mathcal{B}_S$ and $x'_i < 0$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Hence $H^0(X^*_S, \lambda) = 0$.

4) The case when $n_m + s_m$ odd.

In this case, the pair $(S - \{\alpha_1\}, \alpha_i)$ is G-admissible for all $i = 2, ..., s_m$ and the pair $(S - \{j\}, \alpha_i)$ is G-admissible for all $i = 1, ..., s_m - 1$.

Let $(z_1, ..., z_n)$ be the change of basis of λ to $\mathcal{B}_{S-\{j\}}$. Then

$$z_{\alpha_1} = x_{\alpha_1} + x_j \frac{2p^{j-\alpha_1}}{p^{n_m} - 1} \tag{3.3.13}$$

Recall that x_j and x_{α_1} are both negative. Also, recall formula (3.3.11) above:

$$y_j = x_j - x_{\alpha_1} \frac{2p^{n_m+\alpha_1-j}}{p^{n_m} - 1} \tag{3.3.14}$$

where $(y_1, ..., y_n)$ denote the coordinates of λ in the basis $\mathcal{B}_{S-\{\alpha_1\}}$. Note that since we assumed $p > 2$, we have

$$\frac{2p^{n_m-1}}{p^{n_m} - 1} < 1 \tag{3.3.15}$$

because $n_m > 1$. Hence one has the following implications

$$|x_{\alpha_1}| \leq |x_j| \Longrightarrow y_j < 0 \tag{3.3.16}$$

$$|x_j| \leq |x_{\alpha_1}| \Longrightarrow z_{\alpha_1} < 0. \tag{3.3.17}$$

We reduce alternately the value of x_{α_1} and x_j. We may assume for example that $|x_{\alpha_1}| \leq |x_j|$. In this case, we have $y_j < 0$. We may apply induction to the admissible subset $(S - \{\alpha_1\}, j)$, so we obtain $H^0(X^*_{S-\{s_1\}}, \lambda) = 0$. This implies that the group $H^0(X^*_S, \lambda)$ does not change (up to isomorphism) when we replace x_{α_1} by $x_{\alpha_1} - 1$. We may repeat this argument until x_{α_1} reaches $x_j - 1$. Then we have $|x_j| \leq |x_{\alpha_1}|$, so we have $z_{\alpha_1} < 0$, so analogously we can replace x_j by $x_j - 1$. In this way, we can reduce alternately the values of x_{α_1} and x_j to arbitrarily negative integers without changing the group $H^0(X^*_S, \lambda)$ up to isomorphism. Applying Lemma 3.3.1, we have $H^0(X^*_S, \lambda) = 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2.3. Fix a G-admissible subset $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$. We prove that $\langle C_S \rangle = \langle C_{X,S} \rangle$. The inclusion $\langle C_S \rangle \subset \langle C_{X,S} \rangle$ is clear. Conversely, let $\lambda \notin C_S$. Then there exists $i \in S$ such that $x_i < 0$, where $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ denote the coordinates of λ in the basis $\mathcal{B}_S$. By definition, the pair (S, i) is G-admissible. Hence it follows from Proposition 3.3.3 that $H^0(X^*_S, N\lambda) = 0$, for all $N \geq 1$ hence $\lambda \notin \langle C_{X,S} \rangle$. □

4. The flag space

In this section, we recall the definition of the flag space introduced in [7] and [8]. It is an important tool to tackle Conjecture 2.2.5. It also provides examples of pairs (X, ζ) such that $\langle C \rangle \neq \langle C_X \rangle$ and therefore shows why Assumption 2.2.2 (a) is necessary.

4.1. **Partial flag spaces.** We fix a morphism $\zeta : X \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$. Let ${}^zB \subset P_0 \subset P$ be a parabolic subgroup, and let $L_0 \subset P_0$ be the Levi subgroup containing T. Consider the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ defined in [7, §5.1] when $P_0 = {}^zB$ and in [8, §2.1] for general P_0. Recall that this stack parametrizes G-zips with an additional compatible P_0-torsor. Equivalently, one considers an additional partial flag refining the Hodge filtration. There is a natural map $\pi_{P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$

which is a P/P_0-bundle. Form the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{P_0} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{P_0}} & G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{P_0}} \\ X & \xrightarrow[\zeta]{} & G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}} \end{array} \tag{4.1.1}$$

We call X_{P_0} the partial flag space of X attached to P_0 (see [7, §10.3]). By [8] §3.1, one can attach to P_0 a zip datum $\mathcal{Z}_0 := (G, P_0, L_0, Q_0, M_0, \varphi)$ and there is a natural smooth morphism of stacks

$$\Psi_{P_0} : G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)} \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}\,. \tag{4.1.2}$$

We obtain a smooth morphism $\zeta'_{P_0} := \Psi_{P_0} \circ \zeta_{P_0} : X_{P_0} \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$.

As explained in section 1.2.1, every $\chi \in X^*(T)$ yields a vector bundle on $G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$, denote by $\mathscr{V}_{P_0}(\lambda)$ its pull-back to the stack $G\text{-}\mathtt{ZipFlag}^{(\mathcal{Z},P_0)}$ and set $\mathscr{V}_{X_{P_0}}(\lambda) := \zeta_{P_0}^* \mathscr{V}_{P_0}(\lambda)$. It satisfies

$$\pi_* \mathscr{V}_{X_{P_0}}(\lambda) = \mathscr{V}_X(\lambda). \tag{4.1.3}$$

In particular, we obtain an identification $H^0(X, \mathscr{V}_X(\lambda)) = H^0(X_{P_0}, \mathscr{V}_{X_{P_0}}(\lambda))$.

If (X, ζ) satisfies Assumption 2.2.2 (b), then so does (X_{P_0}, ζ'_{P_0}). We suspect that a similar statement holds for 2.2.2 (c) but we did not check this. This assumption obviously holds if X is proper. When X is the special fiber of a Hodge-type Shimura variety with hyperspecial level structure at p, Assumption 2.2.2 (c) would follow from a Koecher principle for strata of Lan-Stroh [15, Th. 2.5.10] extended to flag spaces. We believe that the proof of Lan-Stroh should carry over to this setting, but have not checked this in detail. However, if $P_0 \neq P$, the zip datum $\mathcal{Z}_0$ is generally not of Hodge-type, so the pair (X_{P_0}, ζ'_{P_0}) does not satisfy 2.2.2 (a).

4.2. **A counter-example.** Using the flag space, we give a counter-example to Conjecture 2.2.5 when 2.2.2(a) is not satisfied.

Let $G := GSp(6)$ be the split, symplectic similitude $\mathbf{F}_p$-group of semisimple rank 3. Let $G' := Sp(6)$ denote its derived subgroup and $\iota : G' \to G$ the natural inclusion. Fix maximal tori $T' \subset G'$ and $T \in G$ satisfying $T \cap G' = T'$. Write $\iota^* : X^*(T) \to X^*(T')$ for the restriction map.

Identify $X^*(T')$ with $\mathbf{Z}^3$ in such a way that the roots of T' in G' are given by

$$\Phi = \{\pm\mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_j | 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3\} \cup \{\pm 2\mathbf{e}_i | 1 \leq i \leq 3\},$$

where the $\mathbf{e}_i$ are the standard basis vectors of $\mathbf{Q}^3$. Fix the system of simple roots $\Delta = \{\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3, 2\mathbf{e}_3\}$. Let $L \cong GL(3)$ be the Levi subgroup of G' whose set of simple roots is $\Delta_L = \{\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3\}$.

Let $X = \mathcal{A}_{3,K}$ be the moduli of prime-to-p polarized abelian threefolds in characteristic p with level K, assumed hyperspecial at p. We have the morphism $\zeta : X \to G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ as a special case of (2.4.1). Let $Y := X_{{}^zB}$ be the full flag space of X defined in §4.1. Let $\mathcal{Y} := G\text{-}\mathtt{Zip}^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ the stack attached to the parabolic $P_0 := {}^zB$ and write $\zeta' := \zeta'_{{}^zB} : Y \to \mathcal{Y}$. Let ω be the Hodge line bundle of $\mathcal{A}_{3,K}$. With our identifications, the character η_ω such that $\mathscr{V}(\eta_\omega) = \omega$ satisfies $\iota^*(\eta_\omega) = (-1, -1, -1)$.

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 0} \subset X^*(T)$ be a sequence of characters satisfying*

$$\iota^*(\lambda_n) = -(p+1+n, p^2+n, p^2+1+n).$$

Then one has:

(a) $H^0(\mathcal{Y}, \mathscr{V}(m\lambda_n)) = 0$ for all $n, m \geq 1$. In other words, $\lambda_n \notin \langle C \rangle$.
(b) For sufficiently large n, the line bundle $\mathscr{V}(\lambda_n)$ is ample on Y.
(c) In particular, for sufficiently large $n \geq 1$, $\lambda_n \in \langle C_Y \rangle$.

An immediate consequence of the lemma concerning Question C is:

Corollary 4.2.2. *For the pair (Y, ζ'), one has $\langle C \rangle \neq \langle C_Y \rangle$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.2.1: By Lemma 2.3.1, Assertion (a) is equivalent to $\iota^*\lambda_n \notin \langle C' \rangle$ for all n, where C' is the cone attached to the group G'. Using the methods of [8, §4], we find that the cone $\langle C' \rangle$ is generated by the three vectors $v_1 = (-p, 0, 1)$, $v_2 = (-p, -(p-1), 1)$ and $v_3 = -(p-1, p-1, p-1)$, as these are the pullbacks of the three fundamental weights for G' relative to Δ along the map ψ defined in equation (4.1.3) of *loc. cit.* By inverting the 3×3 matrix whose columns are v_1, v_2, v_3, we find that $\langle C' \rangle$ is the set of tuples (k_1, k_2, k_3) satisfying the following inequalities:

$$-pk_1 + (p+1)k_2 - k_3 \geq 0, \tag{4.2.1a}$$

$$k_1 - (p+1)k_2 + pk_3 \geq 0, \tag{4.2.1b}$$

$$-k_1 - pk_3 \geq 0. \tag{4.2.1c}$$

For all $n \geq 1$, the character $\iota^*\lambda_n$ fails to satisfy (4.2.1b); hence $\iota^*\lambda_n \notin \langle C' \rangle$.

We now prove (b). By a result of Moret-Bailly [17], ω is ample on $\mathcal{A}_{3,K}$. Since λ_0 is Ψ_L-dominant and regular, it follows from the discussion surrounding Kempf's vanishing theorem *cf.* [11, II, Prop. 4.4] that the line bundle $\mathscr{V}(\lambda_0)$ is relatively ample for the morphism $Y \to \mathcal{A}_{3,K}$. The result now follows from the general lemma which says that, if $f : S_1 \to S_2$ is a morphism of schemes, $\mathcal{M}$ is an ample line bundle on S_2 and $\mathcal{N}$ is an f-ample line bundle on S_1, then $f^*\mathcal{M}^a \otimes \mathcal{N}$ is ample on S_1 for sufficiently large a (take $S_1 = Y$, $S_2 = \mathcal{A}_{3,K}$, $\mathcal{M} = \omega$ and $\mathcal{N} = \mathscr{V}(\lambda_0)$).

Finally, (c) follows from (b) because every ample line bundle has a positive power which admits a nonzero global section. □

References

[1] F. Andreatta and E. Goren. Hilbert modular varieties of low dimension. In A. Adolphson, F. Baldassari, P Berthelot, N. Katz, and F. Loeser, editors, *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory*, pages 113–175. Walter de Gruyter, 2004.

[2] M. Artin, J. E. Bertin, M. Demazure, P. Gabriel, A. Grothendieck, M. Raynaud, and J.-P. Serre. *SGA3: Schémas en groupes.*, volume 1963/64. Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1965/1966.

[3] Y. Brunebarbe, W. Goldring, J.-S. Koskivirta, and B. Stroh. Ampleness of automorphic bundles ii: The general noncompact hodge case. In preparation.

[4] F. Diamond. A Serre-type conjecture for the coherent cohomology of Hilbert modular varieties. Personal communication.

[5] F. Diamond and P. Kassaei. Minimal weights of hilbert modular forms in characteristic p. arXiv:1612.08725.

[6] W. Goldring and J.-S. Koskivirta. Quasi-constant characters: Motivation, classification and applications. Preprint.

[7] W. Goldring and J.-S. Koskivirta. Strata Hasse invariants, Hecke algebras and Galois representations. Preprint, arXiv:1507.05032.

[8] W. Goldring and J.-S. Koskivirta. Zip stratifications of flag spaces and functoriality. Preprint.

[9] W. Goldring, J.-S. Koskivirta, and B. Stroh. Ampleness of automorphic bundles and a conjecture of Diamond. Preprint.
[10] E. Goren. Hasse invariants for Hilbert modular varieties. *Israel J. Math.*, 122:157–174, 2001.
[11] J. Jantzen. *Representations of algebraic groups*, volume 107 of *Math. Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2nd edition, 2003.
[12] M. Kisin. Honda-Tate theory for Shimura varieties. Oberwolfach Report No. 39/2015, "Reductions of Shimura varieties".
[13] J.-S. Koskivirta. Sections of the Hodge bundle over Ekedahl-Oort strata of Shimura varieties of Hodge type, 2014. arXiv:1410.1317v2, To appear in J. Algebra.
[14] J.-S. Koskivirta and T. Wedhorn. Generalized Hasse invariants for Shimura varieties of Hodge type. Preprint, arXiv:1406.2178.
[15] K.-W. Lan and B. Stroh. Compactifications of subschemes of integral models of Shimura varieties. Preprint.
[16] D. Lee. Non-emptiness of Newton strata of Shimura varieties of Hodge type. Preprint, 2014.
[17] L. Moret-Bailly. *Pinceaux de Variétés abéliennes*. Astérisque. Soc. Math. France, 1985.
[18] R. Pink, T. Wedhorn, and P. Ziegler. Algebraic zip data. *Doc. Math.*, 16:253–300, 2011.
[19] C. Zhang. Ekedahl-Oort strata for good reductions of Shimura varieties of Hodge type. Preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.4869v2.